

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 27 2008

DOCKETED BY	Control of the second s
	nr

In the matter of)) DOCKET NO. S-2	0572A-08-0009
DONNA MARIE SHUROT, a single individual, CRD #1443423 Respondent.))) DECISION NO.	
	<u> </u>	

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER

KRISTIN K. MAYES **GARY PIERCE**

> ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER OF REVOCATION AND CONSENT TO SAME

Respondent DONNA MARIE SHUROT, a single individual, ("Respondent") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Revocation and Consent to Same ("Order"). Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consents to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent was at all relevant times a resident of Gilbert, Arizona. 1.
- Respondent was at all relevant times a registered securities salesman in Arizona 2. affiliated with Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. ("BAI") in Scottsdale, Arizona since on or about January 7, 2005, CRD #1443423, until BAI discharged Respondent on October 16, 2006.

1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

4 | salesperson since April 30, 2007.
5 | A. BAI's Discovery of th

Respondent was registered as a securities salesman in Arizona in association with Morgan Stanley DW Inc. from August 21, 1992 to January 7, 2005. Respondent is not currently registered in Arizona with any dealer. Respondent is licensed with the Arizona Department of Real Estate as a

A. BAI's Discovery of the Transfer of Customer Funds to Respondent's Accounts.

Customer A

- 3. On or around September 1, 2006, BAI initially learned that customer funds were being deposited into Respondent's accounts when a BAI sales assistant noticed a movement of funds from the estate of Customer A's account at Morgan Stanley to Respondent's personal BAI account. At the time, Respondent had been on medical leave for several months.
- 4. On August 30, 2006, BAI received a check dated August 29, 2006, in the amount of \$6,712.20 from Morgan Stanley. The check was delivered with a handwritten memo on a distribution form from Customer A's IRA, which stated as follows: "Please make my check payable to Bank of America and mail as follows Bank of America Investment Services 16468 N. Scottsdale Rd #250 Scottsdale, AZ 85254, for credit to [Respondent's BAI account number]," directing the deposit into Respondent's personal BAI account. Customer A had passed away on April 29, 2006. On September 1, 2006, BAI reversed the transaction, calculated interest, and returned the funds to Customer A's account.
- 5. BAI's discovery of the September 1, 2006 event prompted BAI to conduct further review of Respondent's activities. Based on that review, the firm discovered two other events related to customer funds and Respondent's accounts:

Customer B

6. A check dated December 15, 2005, for \$58,000, drawn on a bank account belonging to BAI's Customer B, made payable to Bank of America, was directed for deposit into Respondent's personal BAI account. The funds were then removed by Respondent from her BAI account and transferred to her IRA account at BAI. On October 19, 2006, BAI credited Customer

B's account \$59,862.42, an amount that reflected all principal and interest from December 15, 2005.

Customer C

7. On or about June 20, 2006, a form was submitted to Customer C's bank to transfer the liquidated balance of Customer C's bank account to Respondent's BAI account. Customer C was also a BAI customer. The transaction was never completed because of missing paperwork. Customer C experienced no loss of funds as a result of the transaction.

B. Respondent's Termination, Admissions, and Explanations.

- 8. Based upon BAI's review and the recommendation of Bank of America, N.A. Corporate Security, BAI terminated Respondent on October 16, 2006. BAI commented on Respondent's termination Form U-6 the following reason for her discharge: "Management loss of confidence."
- 9. Respondent denied use of the customer funds deposited to her accounts, claiming the transfers for her benefit were in error. However, when questioned about the transfers during the course of an investigation by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), Respondent admitted that the handwriting on the transfers of funds from Customer A's and Customer B's accounts to Respondent's accounts is Respondent's handwriting. Respondent claimed that she could not remember the circumstances of her signing the documents that resulted in the mistaken transfers. However, Respondent failed to identify or disclose the unauthorized transfers until after they were discovered by BAI. When questioned by FINRA concerning the transactions, Respondent claimed that the customers' funds were deposited to her own accounts in error.
- 10. Respondent was not authorized to transfer the customers' funds to her personal accounts.
- 11. Respondent failed to disclose to the customers that Respondent was directing the deposit of the funds into an account controlled by Respondent.

Decision No.	70217	
Decision No		

12. Even though none of Respondents' customers suffered losses after the unauthorized funds transfers were discovered and the funds were returned to the customers' accounts, Customer B's funds remained in Respondent's account for a period of ten months before they were discovered by Respondent's dealer and returned to Customer B's account.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. Respondent's conduct violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts or engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 3. Respondent's conduct subjects Respondent to an order of revocation pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962. Specifically, Respondent has:
 - a.) Violated A.R.S. § 44-1991, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(2).
 - b.) Committed dishonest and unethical practices in the securities industry as defined in A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(10), for:
 - Unreasonable delay in the delivery of securities or funds to the extent that the salesman is in a position to control or direct the delivery of the securities or funds, within the meaning of A.A.C. Rule R14-4-130(A)(1); and/or
 - 2. Making unauthorized use of securities or funds of customers or converting customer securities or funds for personal benefit, within the meaning of A.A.C. Rule R14-4-130(A)(16).
- 4. Respondent's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.R.S. § 44-1962.

III. **ORDER** THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondent's consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors: IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962, that Respondent, and any of Respondent's agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent complies with the attached Consent to Entry of Order. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962, that Respondent's securities salesman registration is revoked. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if Respondent fails to comply with this order, the

Decision No.

1	Commission may bring further legal proceedings against Respondent, including application to the			
2	superior court for an order of contempt.			
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.			
4	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
5	/ / 1000 114, 80 0 0			
6	Sand Mean Waller			
7	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER			
8	express he Hatch Mille IVI Land Seem			
2	UCOMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER			
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, DEAN S. MILLER, Interim			
11	Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the			
12	official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 27^{4} day of			
13	<u>March</u> , 2008.			
14	Do 1 Pho A)			
15	- // // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /			
16	DEAM S. MILLER Interim Executive Director			
17				
18	DISSENT			
19	DISSERT			
20				
21	DISSENT			
22	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinator,			
23	voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail <u>lhogan@azcc.gov.</u> (ptj)			
24				
25				
26				
	6 70217 Decision No			
	11			

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent DONNA MARIE SHUROT, an individual, admits the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent has been fully advised of Respondent's right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. Respondent acknowledges that this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Revocation and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. Respondent understands and acknowledges that Respondent has a right to seek counsel regarding this Order, and that Respondent has had the opportunity to seek counsel prior to signing this Order. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, despite the foregoing, Respondent freely and voluntarily waives any and all right to consult or obtain counsel prior to signing this Order.
- 5. Respondent neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. Respondent agrees that Respondent shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the state to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

70217 Decision No.

- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, Respondent agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Respondent will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of Respondent's agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between Respondent and the Commission, Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. Respondent agrees that Respondent will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. Respondent agrees that Respondent will not offer or sell any securities or offer investment advisory services in or from Arizona, and will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona at any time in the future.
- 12. Respondent consents to the entry of this Order and agrees to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.

Decision No. 70217

1	13. Respondent acknowledges and understands that if Respondent fails to comply with
2	the provisions of the order and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings
3	against Respondent, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.
4	Commence - Nouth
5	DONNA MARIE SHUROT
6	
7 8	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss
9	County of Maricopa)
10	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this day of, 2008.
11	
12	NOTARY PUBLIC
13	My commission expires:
14	MATTHEW R. SIEVERS NOTARY PUBLIC - AZ MARIGOPA COUNTY MARIGOPA COUNTY MARIGOPA COUNTY MARIGOPA COUNTY MARIGOPA COUNTY
15	My Commission Expires 07/15/08
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	